arXiv:1112.6020v2 [math.NT] 25 Mar 2012

FLASQUE RESOLUTIONS OF REDUCTIVE GROUP SCHEMES

CRISTIAN D. GONZÁLEZ-AVILÉS

Abstract. We generalize J.-L.Colliot-Thélène's construction of flasque resolutions of reductive group schemes over a field to a broad class of base schemes.

1. Introduction

In [CT], J.-L. Colliot-Thélène constructed so-called flasque resolutions of (connected) reductive algebraic groups over a field. The case of tori was previously investigated by J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc in [CTS1] and [CTS2]. The object of this paper is to extend Colliot-Thélène's construction to reductive group schemes over a broad class of base schemes S, including all connected and locally noetherian normal schemes. This is the subject of Section 3. In particular, we show that the functor which assigns to a reductive S-group scheme G its (Borovoi) algebraic fundamental S-group scheme $\pi_1(G)$ is *exact* (see Theorem 3.14). In Section 4, which concludes the paper, we give some applications of flasque resolutions to Borovoi's abelianized cohomology theory over S. Further applications (over a Dedekind base) are given in [GA2].

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to Brian Conrad for providing the proof of the key Proposition 2.8 and for helping me with the proofs of a number of results contained in Section 2. I also thank two anonymous referees for many valuable suggestions.

2. Preliminaries

Recall [EGA], IV_1, Chap.0, §23.2.1, that a local ring A with residue field k is called *geometrically unibranched* if A_{red} is integral and the integral closure of A_{red} (in its field of fractions) is a local ring whose residue field is a purely inseparable extension of k. An equivalent condition is that the spectrum of the strict henselization of A is an irreducible scheme [EGA], IV_4, 18.8.15.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G35; Secondary 20G30.

Key words and phrases. Reductive group schemes, flasque resolutions, abelianized cohomology.

The author is partially supported by Fondecyt grant 1080025.

A scheme S is called geometrically unibranched if the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,s}$ is geometrically unibranched for every point s of S. Clearly, a local integral and integrally closed ring is geometrically unibranched, whence a normal scheme is geometrically unibranched.

We will work over an arbitrary non-empty scheme when possible, but many of our results will apply to the following restricted class of schemes:

Definition 2.1. A non-empty scheme S is called *admissible* if it is connected, locally noetherian and geometrically unibranched. If $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ is affine, we will also say that A is admissible.

Now let S be a non-empty scheme. All S-group schemes appearing in this paper will be of finite type. If M is an S-group scheme of finite type and of multiplicative type, then M is quasi-isotrivial, i.e., there exists a surjetive étale morphism $S' \to S$ such that $M \times_S S'$ is diagonalisable [SGA3], X, Corollary 4.5. Further, the functor $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{S\text{-gr}}(M, \mathbb{G}_{m,S})$ is represented by a finitely generated twisted constant S-group scheme which is denoted by M^* [SGA3], X, Theorem 5.6. On the other hand, if X is a finitely generated twisted constant S-group scheme, the functor $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{S\text{-gr}}(X, \mathbb{G}_{m,S})$ is represented by an S-group scheme of finite type and of multiplicative type which is denoted by X^* [SGA3], X, Proposition 5.3. The functors $M \to M^*$ and $X \to X^*$ are mutually quasi-inverse anti-equivalences between the categories of S-group schemes of finite type and of multiplicative type and that of finitely generated twisted constant S-group schemes [SGA3], X, Corollary 5.9. Further, $M \to M^*$ and $X \to X^*$ are exact functors (see [SGA3], VIII, Theorem 3.1, and use faithfully flat descent). Now, if T is an S-torus, the functor $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{S\text{-gr}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,S}, T)$ is represented by a (finitely generated) twisted constant S-group scheme which is denoted by T_* [SGA3], X, Theorem 5.6. There exist canonical isomorphisms

$$T^* \simeq (T_*)^\vee := \operatorname{Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}(T_*, \mathbb{Z}_S) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$T \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}(T^*, \mathbb{G}_{m,S}) \simeq T_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_S} \mathbb{G}_{m,S}. \tag{2.2}$$

Note that, if μ is a finite S-group scheme of multiplicative type, then

$$\mu_* := \operatorname{Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}(\mathbb{G}_{m,S}, \mu) = 0.$$

Now assume that S is admissible. Then an S-group scheme of finite type and of multiplicative type is, in fact, *isotrivial* [SGA3], X, Theorem 5.16, i.e., it is split by a *finite* surjetive étale morphism $S' \to S$. By [SGA3], X, beginning of §1, S' can be assumed to be connected and Galois over S with (finite) Galois group Δ. The correspondence $M \mapsto M^*(S')$ defines an anti-equivalence between the categories of S-group schemes of finite type and of multiplicative type split by $S' \to S$ and that of finitely generated Δ-modules [SGA3], X, Corollary 1.2. The reverse anti-equivalence transforms exact sequences of finitely generated Δ-modules into exact sequences of S-groups of finite type and of multiplicative type, inducing exact sequences of fppf sheaves on S. Now, if $\mathscr{L}_\Delta$ is the category of $\mathbb{Z}$-free and finitely generated Δ-modules, then $\mathscr{L}_\Delta$ is anti-equivalent to itself via linear duality $X \mapsto X^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. An object $X \in \mathscr{L}_\Delta$ is called a *permutation* module if it admits a Δ-stable $\mathbb{Z}$-basis. It is called *invertible* if it is a Δ-direct summand of a permutation module. A module X in $\mathscr{L}_\Delta$ is called *flasque* if the Tate cohomology group $\widehat{H}^{-1}(\Theta, X)$ is zero for every subgroup Θ of Δ. Now let T be an S-torus. Then T is called flasque (respectively, quasi-trivial, invertible) if there exists a connected Galois cover $S' \to S$, with Galois group Δ, splitting T and such that $T^*(S')$ is a flasque (respectively, permutation, invertible) Δ-module. An invertible S-torus (in particular, a quasi-trivial S-torus) is flasque. See [CTS2], §§1 and 2, for the basic theory of flasque S-tori.

We often restrict our attention to admissible base schemes because of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be an admissible scheme. Then any extension of a quasi-trivial S-torus by a flasque S-torus is split.*

Proof. Let R (resp., F) be a quasi-trivial (resp., flasque) S-torus and let $1 \to F \to E \to R \to 1$ be an extension of R by F, i.e., E is an S-group scheme and R is the quotient of E by F for the fppf topology. Then E is an S-torus (see [SGA3], XVII, Proposition 7.1.1 and its proof) and the given sequence induces an extension of étale twisted constant S-group schemes $1 \to R^* \to E^* \to F^* \to 1$. Since S is connected and geometrically unibranched and F is flasque, the above extension is split [CTS2], Lemma 2.1(i), and hence so is the original extension. □

Remark 2.3. The lemma fails to hold if S is not admissible. For example, if S is an algebraic curve over a field having an ordinary double point, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_S(\mathbb{G}_{m,S}, \mathbb{G}_{m,S}) \simeq H^1_{\text{ét}}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ (see [CTS2], p.160, and [SGA4], IX, Remark 3.7).

Let S be a non-empty scheme. An S-group scheme G is called *reductive* (respectively, *semisimple*, *simply-connected*) if it is affine and smooth over S and its geometric fibers are *connected* reductive (resp., semisimple, simply-connected) algebraic groups [SGA3], XIX, Definition 2.7. If G is a reductive S-group scheme, the functor $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{S\text{-gr}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{m,S})$ is represented by a twisted-constant S-group scheme which is denoted by G^* [SGA3], XXII, Theorem 6.2.1(i). The derived group of G [SGA3], XXII, Theorem 6.2.1(iv), will be denoted by G^{der}. It is a normal semisimple subgroup scheme of G and the quotient

$$G^{\mathrm{tor}} := G/G^{\mathrm{der}}$$

is an S-torus (in [SGA3], XXII, 6.2, G^{tor} is denoted by corad(G) and called the *coradical* of G). Note that, since $G^{\mathrm{der}*} = 0$, the exact sequence of reductive S-group schemes

$$1 \to G^{\mathrm{der}} \to G \to G^{\mathrm{tor}} \to 1 \tag{2.3}$$

induces an equality $G^{*}=G^{\mathrm{tor}*}$.

We will write $Z(G)$ for the center of G, i.e., the S-subgroup scheme of multiplicative type of G which represents the functor $\underline{\mathrm{Centr}}_{G}$ defined in [SGA3], $\mathrm{VI_B}$, Definition 6.1(iii). See [SGA3], XII, Proposition 4.11, and/or [Con], §3.3.

If G is any semisimple S-group scheme, there exists a simply-connected S-group scheme $\widetilde{G}$ and a central isogeny $\varphi\colon \widetilde{G}\to G$ (see [Con], Exercise 6.5.3(i)[1]). The pair $(\widetilde{G},\varphi)$ has the following universal mapping property (which determines it up to unique isomorphism): if G' is a semisimple S-group scheme and $p\colon G'\to G$ is a central isogeny, then there exists a unique central isogeny $f\colon \widetilde{G}\to G'$ such that $p\circ f=\varphi$. Further, the formation of $(\widetilde{G},\varphi)$ commutes with arbitrary extensions of the base. The S-group scheme $\widetilde{G}$ is called the *simply-connected central cover* of G, and the finite S-group scheme of multiplicative type $\mu:=\operatorname{Ker}\varphi$ is called the *fundamental group* of G. Note that μ can be non-smooth, but its Cartier dual μ^{*} is étale over S[2].

Proposition 2.4. *Let S be a non-empty scheme. Then any central extension of a simply-connected S-group scheme by an S-group scheme of multiplicative type is split.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}\colon 1\to M\to E\xrightarrow{\pi} G\to 1$ be a central extension of S-group schemes, where G is simply-connected and M is of multiplicative type. By [Bor2], Lemma B.2, there exists an S-torus T and an embedding $M\hookrightarrow T$. Let E_1 be the pushout (see, e.g., [Wbl], p.77) of $M\hookrightarrow E$ and $M\hookrightarrow T$. Then there exists an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & & \\
1 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & T \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E_1 \arrow[r, "\pi_1"] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[r] & 1 \\
 & T_1 \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & T_1 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 1 & 1 & & ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $T_1=T/M$ is an S-torus. The S-group scheme E_1 is affine and smooth over S and has connected reductive fibers, i.e., is a reductive S-group scheme.

---

[1] The solution to this exercise can be found in [Har], beginning of §1.2.

[2] The reader should be warned that many authors call μ^{*} the fundamental group of G. Perhaps more appropriately, μ^{*} should be called the *étale* fundamental group of G.

Now π_1 induces a surjection $\pi_1'\colon E_1^{\mathrm{der}} \twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{der}} = G$, and we have a central extension

$$1 \to T \cap E_1^{\mathrm{der}} \to E_1^{\mathrm{der}} \xrightarrow{\pi_1'} G \to 1.$$

Since E_1^{der} is semisimple, π_1' is in fact a central isogeny. Thus, since G is simply-connected, π_1' is an isomorphism. On the other hand, since T_1 is commutative, there exists an embedding $E_1^{\mathrm{der}} \hookrightarrow E$. Now the composition

$$G \xrightarrow{(\pi_1')^{-1}} E_1^{\mathrm{der}} \hookrightarrow E$$

splits $\mathcal{E}$. $\square$

Remark 2.5. The above proof shows that, if $1 \to T \to E \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1$ is a central extension of a simply-connected S-group scheme G by an S-torus T, then E is a reductive S-group scheme and π induces an isomorphism $E^{\mathrm{der}} \simeq G$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let S be a non-empty scheme and let $\lambda\colon G \to H$ be a homomorphism between semisimple S-group schemes. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $\widetilde{\lambda}\colon \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{H}$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{G} \arrow[r, "\widetilde{\lambda}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi_G"] & \widetilde{H} \arrow[d, "\varphi_H"] \\
G \arrow[r, "\lambda"] & H
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Proof. Uniqueness follows from the fact that there are no nontrivial homomorphisms from a simply-connected S-group scheme to a commutative S-group scheme (more precisely, $\mathrm{Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}\big(\widetilde{G}, \mu_H\big) = 1$). Now the pullback of the central extension $1 \to \mu_H \to \widetilde{H} \to H \to 1$ along the composition $\lambda \circ \varphi_G\colon \widetilde{G} \to H$ is a central extension of $\widetilde{G}$ by μ_H. By the proposition, this extension is split, whence there exists a section $\widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{H} \times_H \widetilde{G}$ to the canonical projection $\mathrm{pr}_2\colon \widetilde{H} \times_H \widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{G}$. The composition $\widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{H} \times_H \widetilde{G} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_1} \widetilde{H}$ is the desired map $\widetilde{\lambda}$. $\square$

Remark 2.7. If $\lambda\colon G_1 \to G_2$ and $\kappa\colon G_2 \to G_3$ are homomorphisms between semisimple S-group schemes, then the uniqueness statement in the corollary implies that $\widetilde{\kappa \circ \lambda} = \widetilde{\kappa} \circ \widetilde{\lambda}$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let S be a non-empty scheme and let*

$$1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \to G_3 \to 1$$

be an exact sequence of semisimple S-group schemes. Then the induced sequence of simply-connected central covers

$$1 \to \widetilde{G}_1 \to \widetilde{G}_2 \to \widetilde{G}_3 \to 1$$

is exact.

Proof. By Remark 2.7, $1 \to \widetilde{G}_1 \to \widetilde{G}_2 \to \widetilde{G}_3 \to 1$ is a complex and to prove its exactness we need only check that the induced morphism $\widetilde{G}_2/\widetilde{G}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{G}_3$ (which is an isogeny for dimension reasons) is an isomorphism. This can be checked on fibers over the base, so we are reduced to the case $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, where k is an algebraically closed field. Let $C = G_2 \times_{G_3} \widetilde{G}_3$ and consider the exact sequence of k-group schemes

$$1 \to G_1 \to C \to \widetilde{G}_3 \to 1. \tag{2.4}$$

The k-group C is smooth and connected, since there exists a smooth quotient map $C \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{G}_3$ whose kernel G_1 is connected. Further, there exists a central extension

$$1 \to \mu_3 \to C \to G_2 \to 1.$$

It follows that C is connected and semisimple. Thus (2.4) is an exact sequence of smooth connected semisimple algebraic k-groups. By the general structure theorem of such groups, G_1 embeds into C as an almost direct factor. More precisely, let H denote the smooth connected normal subgroup of C generated by the minimal nontrivial smooth connected normal subgroups of C not contained in G_1. Then H is connected, semisimple and centralizes G_1, and there exists a central isogeny $G_1 \times_k H \twoheadrightarrow C$. In particular, $H \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{G}_3$ is an isogeny (for dimension reasons again) and has kernel $H \cap G_1$, which is central in H. Therefore $H \to \widetilde{G}_3$ is an isomorphism. That is, the projection $C \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{G}_3$ has a section which identifies $\widetilde{G}_3$ with H and H centralizes G_1, whence $H \cap G_1 = \{1\}$. In other words, (2.4) splits. Thus $\widetilde{G}_2 \simeq \widetilde{C} \simeq \widetilde{G}_1 \times_k \widetilde{G}_3$, compatibly with the natural maps (compatibility can be checked after composing with isogenies down to terms in $1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \to G_3 \to 1$, since our groups are smooth and connected). $\square$

Now, if G is any reductive S-group scheme, $\widetilde{G}$ will denote the simply-connected central cover of its derived group G^{der} (which is semisimple) and $\mu = \mu_G$ will denote the fundamental group of G^{der}. There exists a canonical central extension

$$1 \to \mu \to \widetilde{G} \to G^{\text{der}} \to 1.$$

Further, if $\lambda\colon G \to H$ is a homomorphism of reductive S-group schemes, $\widetilde{\lambda}$ will denote the homomorphism $\widetilde{G} \to \widetilde{H}$ induced by $\lambda^{\text{der}}\colon G^{\text{der}} \to H^{\text{der}}$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let S be a non-empty scheme and let $1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \xrightarrow{\lambda} G_3 \to 1$ be an exact sequence of reductive group schemes over S, where G_1 is an S-*torus. *Then the map $\widetilde{\lambda}\colon \widetilde{G}_2 \to \widetilde{G}_3$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that, since the S-torus G_1 is normal in G_2 and G_2 has connected fibers, G_1 is central in G_2 [SGA3], IX.5.5. Now let $C = G_2 \times_{G_3} \widetilde{G}_3$. Then C is a reductive S-group scheme which fits into an exact sequence

$$1 \to G_1 \to C \to \widetilde{G}_3 \to 1.$$

Since G_1 is central in G_2, the preceding sequence is in fact a central extension. Thus, by Proposition 2.4, there exists an isomorphism $C \simeq \widetilde{G}_3 \times_S G_1$ which induces an isomorphism $\widetilde{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{G}_3$. On the other hand, the first projection $C \to G_2$ induces an epimorphism $C^{\text{der}} \twoheadrightarrow G_2^{\text{der}}$ with central kernel, which implies that there exists an isomorphism $\widetilde{G}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{C}$. The composition $\widetilde{G}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{G}_3$ is the map $\widetilde{\lambda}$. □

Proposition 2.10. *Let S be a non-empty scheme and let $1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \to G_3 \to 1$ be an exact sequence of reductive group schemes over S. Then there exists an induced exact sequence of simply-connected central covers*

$$1 \to \widetilde{G}_1 \to \widetilde{G}_2 \to \widetilde{G}_3 \to 1.$$

Proof. Let $G_3' = G_2/G_1^{\text{der}}$. Then there exist exact sequences

$$1 \to G_1^{\text{der}} \to G_2^{\text{der}} \to (G_3')^{\text{der}} \to 1$$

and

$$1 \to G_1^{\text{tor}} \to G_3' \to G_3 \to 1.$$

By Proposition 2.8, the first exact sequence induces an exact sequence $1 \to \widetilde{G}_1 \to \widetilde{G}_2 \to \widetilde{G}_3' \to 1$, and the previous lemma shows that $\widetilde{G}_3'$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{G}_3$. The result is now clear. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let S be a non-empty scheme and let $1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \to G_3 \to 1$ be an exact sequence of reductive S-group schemes. Then there exists an exact sequence of S-group schemes of multiplicative type*

$$1 \to \mu_1 \to \mu_2 \to \mu_3 \to G_1^{\text{tor}} \to G_2^{\text{tor}} \to G_3^{\text{tor}} \to 1.$$

Proof. This follows from the proposition by applying the snake lemma (which is justified in this case) to the exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{G}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{G}_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{G}_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & G_1 \arrow[r] & G_2 \arrow[r] & G_3 \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

□

Remark 2.12. The corollary yields an exact sequence

$$1 \to G_3^* \to G_2^* \to G_1^* \to \mu_3^* \to \mu_2^* \to \mu_1^* \to 1$$

of étale sheaves on S. When $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$ for a separably closed field k, the preceding sequence together with [San], Lemma 6.9, (ii) and (iii), yields an exact sequence

$$1 \to G_3^*(k) \to G_2^*(k) \to G_1^*(k) \to \operatorname{Pic} G_3 \to \operatorname{Pic} G_2 \to \operatorname{Pic} G_1 \to 1$$

which coincides with [San], (6.11.4), p.43.

Let G be a reductive S-group scheme and let $\partial\colon \widetilde{G}\to G$ be the composition $\widetilde{G}\twoheadrightarrow G^{\mathrm{der}}\hookrightarrow G$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$1\to\mu\to\widetilde{G}\overset{\partial}{\to}G\to G^{\mathrm{tor}}\to 1. \tag{2.5}$$

There exists a canonical "conjugation" action of G on $\widetilde{G}$ such that the two-term complex $(\widetilde{G}\overset{\partial}{\to}G)$, where $\widetilde{G}$ and G are placed in degrees -1 and 0, respectively, is a (left) *quasi-abelian* crossed module on S_{fl}, in the sense of [GA1], Definition 3.2. See [Br], Example 1.9, p.28, and [GA1], Example 2.2(iii). Thus ∂ induces a homomorphism $\partial_Z\colon Z\big(\widetilde{G}\big)\to Z(G)$ and the embedding of crossed modules

$$\big(Z\big(\widetilde{G}\big)\xrightarrow{\partial_Z}Z(G)\big)\hookrightarrow(\widetilde{G}\xrightarrow{\partial}G)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism [GA1], Proposition 3.4. In particular, (2.5) induces an exact sequence of S-group schemes of multiplicative type

$$1\to\mu\to Z\big(\widetilde{G}\big)\overset{\partial_Z}{\longrightarrow}Z(G)\to G^{\mathrm{tor}}\to 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Finally, we will write $\mathrm{rad}(G)$ for the radical of G, i.e., the maximal torus of $Z(G)$ [SGA3], XXII, definition 4.3.6. By [op.cit.], Theorem 6.2.1(iii), $\mathrm{rad}(G)$ is isogenous to $\mathrm{corad(G)}=G^{\mathrm{tor}}$.

3. Flasque resolutions

In this Section we extend J.-L.Colliot-Thélène's construction of flasque resolutions of (connected) reductive algebraic groups over a field contained in [CT] to an arbitrary admissible base scheme. Some of the proofs from [op.cit.] carry over to this more general setting *mutatis mutandis*, while others require substantial modifications.

Let S be an admissible scheme (see Definition 2.1).

Definition 3.1. A reductive S-group scheme H is called *quasi-trivial* if H^{der} is a simply-connected S-group scheme and H^{tor} is a quasi-trivial S-torus.

Thus, by (2.3), a quasi-trivial S-group scheme is an extension of a quasi-trivial S-torus by a simply-connected S-group scheme. Further, if H is a quasi-trivial S-group and $T\to S$ is a morphism of admissible schemes, then $H\times_S T$ is a quasi-trivial T-group scheme.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a reductive S-group scheme over an admissible scheme S. Then there exist a quasi-trivial S-group scheme H, a flasque S-torus F and a central extension*

$$1\to F\to H\to G\to 1.$$

Proof. The following proof is a straightforward generalization of [CT], proof of Proposition-Definition 3.1.

By [SGA3], XXII, Theorem 6.2.1, $K:=\mathrm{rad}(G)\cap G^{\mathrm{der}}\subseteq G$ is a finite S-group scheme of multiplicative type contained in $Z(G^{\mathrm{der}})$ (K agrees with the kernel of the isogeny $\mathrm{rad}(G)\to\mathrm{corad(G)}$ of [loc.cit.], 6.2.1(iii)). Now, by [op.cit.], XXII, 6.2.3, the product in G defines a faithfully flat morphism $\mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S G^{\mathrm{der}}\to G$ whose kernel is equal to the image of $\iota:=(1_K,\mathrm{inv}_K)_S\colon K\hookrightarrow K\times_S K\subseteq \mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S G^{\mathrm{der}}$, where 1_K and $\mathrm{inv}_K\colon K\to K$ are, respectively, the identity and the inversion morphism on K. The map $\mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S G^{\mathrm{der}}\to G$ induces a faithfully flat morphism $\mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S \widetilde{G}\to G$ whose kernel will be denoted by μ_1. Thus, there exists an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mu_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S \widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \iota(K) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S G^{\mathrm{der}} \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1}$$

The kernel of the middle vertical map above, which is the same as the kernel of the left-hand vertical map, is canonically isomorphic to the fundamental group μ of G. It follows that μ_1 is a finite S-group scheme of multiplicative type contained in $\mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S\big(\widetilde{G}\times_{G^{\mathrm{der}}}K\big)$.

Since K is central in G^{der} and $\widetilde{G}\times_{G^{\mathrm{der}}}Z(G^{\mathrm{der}})=Z\big(\widetilde{G}\big)$, μ_1 is contained in $\mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S Z\big(\widetilde{G}\big)=Z\big(\mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S\widetilde{G}\big)$. Thus the rows of (3.1) are *central* extensions. Now the projection $\mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S Z(\widetilde{G}\,)\to Z(\widetilde{G}\,)$ yields an embedding $\mu_1\hookrightarrow Z(\widetilde{G}\,)$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{rad}(G)$ is an isotrivial S-torus (since S is admissible), so there exists a quasi-trivial S-torus R and a surjective homomorphism $R\twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{rad}(G)$ by [CTS2], (1.3.3). Therefore there exists a faithfully flat homomorphism $R\times_S\widetilde{G}\twoheadrightarrow G$ with kernel M (say) and an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d] & R\times_S \widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mu_1 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{rad}(G)\times_S \widetilde{G} \arrow[r] & G \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

As in [CT], p.89, lines 2-5, M is an (isotrivial) S-group scheme of finite type and of multiplicative type contained in the center of $R\times_S\widetilde{G}$. Next, by [CTS2], (1.3.2), we can find an exact sequence $1\to M\overset{j}{\to}F\to P\to 1$, where F is a flasque S-torus and P is a quasi-trivial S-torus. Let H be the pushout of $i\colon M\hookrightarrow R\times_S\widetilde{G}$ and $j':=\mathrm{inv}_F\circ j\colon M\hookrightarrow F$, i.e., the cokernel of the central embedding $(i,j)_S:M\hookrightarrow (R\times_S\widetilde{G})\times_S F$. Then H is a reductive S-group scheme [SGA3], XXII, Corollary 4.3.2, which fits into an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & & \\
1 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "j'"] & R\times_S \widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[r] & 1 \\
 & P \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & P \arrow[d] & & \\
 & 1 & 1 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with F central in H. Let $\varepsilon\colon S\to R$ be the unit section of R and let $\psi=(\varepsilon,\mathrm{Id}_{\widetilde{G}})_S\colon S\times_S\widetilde{G}\to R\times_S\widetilde{G}$. Then there exists an S-morphism

$$\widetilde{G}\simeq S\times_S\widetilde{G}\overset{\psi}{\hookrightarrow} R\times_S\widetilde{G}\hookrightarrow H$$

which embeds $\widetilde{G}$ as a normal subgroup scheme of H. The corresponding quotient $H/\widetilde{G}$ fits into an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & R\times_S \widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{G} \arrow[r] & H \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H/\widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
 & & P \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & P \arrow[d] & \\
 & & 1 & 1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By [SGA3], XXII, 6.3.1 and 6.2.1(iv), $H^{\mathrm{der}}\simeq(\widetilde{G}\,)^{\mathrm{der}}=\widetilde{G}$ is simply-connected. Further, $H/\widetilde{G}\simeq H^{\,\mathrm{tor}}$ is an extension of the quasi-trivial S-torus P by the quasi-trivial S-torus R. Now Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$H^{\,\mathrm{tor}}\simeq R\times_S P$$

is a quasi-trivial S-torus, which completes the proof. □

Remarks 3.3.

(a) Let $S'\to S$ be a finite connected Galois cover of S with Galois group $\varDelta$ which splits both $\mathrm{rad}(G)$ (or, equivalently, $\mathrm{corad}(G)=G^{\mathrm{tor}}$) and

$Z(\widetilde{G})$. Then the proof of the proposition shows that there exists a flasque resolution $1 \to F \to H \to G \to 1$ of G such that F is split by $S' \to S$. Consequently, if $\varDelta$ is *metacyclic* (i.e., if every Sylow subgroup of $\varDelta$ is cyclic), then F is *invertible* [CTS1], Proposition 2, p.184, and we conclude that G admits an invertible resolution.

(b) Let G and S be as in the statement of the proposition, let $1 \to F \to H \to G \to 1$ be a flasque resolution of G and let $T \to S$ be a morphism of admissible schemes. Then $1 \to F \times_S T \to H \times_S T \to G \times_S T \to 1$ is a flasque resolution of the reductive T-group scheme $G \times_S T$. See [CTS2], Proposition 1.4.

Let S and G be as in the proposition and let

$$1 \to F \to H \to G \to 1 \tag{3.2}$$

be a flasque resolution of G. Set $R = H^{\,\text{tor}}$. Then the proof of the proposition (see also [CT], p.93) shows that (3.2) induces a so-called "fundamental" exact commutative diagram

(3.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
& 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mu \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{G} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G^{\text{der}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G^{\text{tor}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1, \\
& 1 & 1 & 1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $M = F/\mu$. The above diagram immediately yields an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu \to F \to R \to G^{\text{tor}} \to 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Further, (3.3) induces an exact commutative diagram of S-group schemes of multiplicative type

(3.5)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mu \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z\big(\widetilde{G}\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rd, dashed, "\partial_Z"] & Z(G^{\mathrm{der}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] \arrow[rd, dashed] & H \times_G Z(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G^{\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1. \\
 & 1 & 1 & 1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 3.4. *The complexes* $\big(Z\big(\widetilde{G}\big) \xrightarrow{\partial_Z} Z(G)\big)$ *and* $(F \to R)$ *are canonically quasi-isomorphic.*

Proof. The proof is formally the same as the proof of [CT], Proposition A.1, p.124. Indeed, (3.5) induces an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mu \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & Z\big(\widetilde{G}\big) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & Z(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & G^{\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "="] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mu \arrow[r] & H \times_G Z(G) \arrow[r] & R \oplus Z(G) \arrow[r] & G^{\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[r] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mu \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "="] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[u, hook] & R \arrow[r] \arrow[u, hook] & G^{\mathrm{tor}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "="] & 1,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the top row is (2.6) and the bottom row is (3.4). It follows that the complexes in the statement are both quasi-isomorphic to the complex $(H \times_G Z(G) \to R \oplus Z(G))$. For more details, see [op.cit.], p.126. □

We now turn to the problem of comparing two flasque resolutions of a given reductive group scheme G. We begin with

Lemma 3.5. *Let S be an admissible scheme. Then every central extension of a quasi-trivial S-group scheme by a flasque S-torus is split.*

Proof. Let H be a quasi-trivial S-group scheme, let F be a flasque S-torus and let $1 \to F \to E \to H \to 1$ be a central extension. Applying Remark 2.5 to the central extension $1 \to F \to E \times_H H^{\mathrm{der}} \to H^{\mathrm{der}} \to 1$, we conclude that the inclusion $H^{\mathrm{der}} \hookrightarrow H$ induces a morphism $H^{\mathrm{der}} \to E$ which maps H^{der} isomorphically onto E^{der}. It follows that there exists an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "c"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & E^{\text{tor}} \arrow[r] & H^{\text{tor}} \arrow[r] & 1,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where c is the canonical map. The above diagram induces another exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & E \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & E^{\text{tor}} \times_{H^{\text{tor}}} H \arrow[r] & H \arrow[r] & 1,
\end{tikzcd}$$

which shows that $E \simeq E^{\text{tor}} \times_{H^{\text{tor}}} H$. Since $E^{\text{tor}} \simeq F \times_S H^{\text{tor}}$ by Lemma 2.2, the result follows. □

We can now compare two flasque resolutions of a given reductive S-group scheme G.

Proposition 3.6. *Let S be an admissible scheme and let G be a reductive group scheme over S. Let $1 \to F \to H \to G \to 1$ and $1 \to F_1 \to H_1 \to G \to 1$ be two flasque resolutions of G. Set $R = H^{\text{tor}}$ and $R_1 = H_1^{\text{tor}}$. Then*

- (i) *There exists an isomorphism $F \times_S H_1 \simeq F_1 \times_S H$.*
- (ii) *The simply-connected S-group schemes H^{der} and H_1^{der} are isomorphic.*
- (iii) *There exists an isomorphism of S-tori $F \times_S R_1 \simeq F_1 \times_S R$.*
- (iv) *There exists a canonical isomorphism of twisted-constant S-group schemes*

$$\text{Coker}\,[F_* \to R_*] \simeq \text{Coker}\,[F_{1*} \to R_{1*}].$$

Proof. The given flasque resolutions induce central extensions

$$1 \to F \to H \times_G H_1 \to H_1 \to 1 \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$1 \to F_1 \to H_1 \times_G H \to H \to 1. \tag{3.7}$$

Since both H and H_1 are quasi-trivial, the above extensions are split by the previous lemma. It follows that $F \times_S H_1 \simeq H \times_G H_1 \simeq F_1 \times_S H$, which proves (i). Further (see Remark 2.5), (3.6) and (3.7) show that $H_1^{\text{der}} \simeq$

$(H\times_G H_1)^{\text{der}}\simeq H^{\text{der}}$, i.e., (ii) holds. Now the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 1 \arrow[d] & 1 \arrow[d] & \\
 & & (H\times_G H_1)^{\text{der}} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d] & H_1^{\text{der}} \arrow[d] & \\
1 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & H\times_G H_1 \arrow[r] & H_1 \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

yields an exact sequence of S-tori

$$1\to F\to (H\times_G H_1)^{\text{tor}}\to R_1\to 1.$$

By Lemma 2.2 this sequence splits. Thus $(H\times_G H_1)^{\text{tor}}\simeq F\times_S R_1$. Similarly, $(H_1\times_G H)^{\text{tor}}\simeq F_1\times_S R$ and (iii) follows. Finally, applying the kernel-cokernel exact sequence [ADT], Proposition I.0.24, p.16, to

$$F_*\to F_*\oplus R_{1*}\simeq F_{1*}\oplus R_*\twoheadrightarrow R_*,$$

we conclude that the morphisms $F_*\to R_*$ and $F_{1*}\to R_{1*}$ (induced by the compositions $F\to H\to R$ and $F_1\to H_1\to R_1$, respectively) have canonically isomorphic cokernels. This proves (iv). □

Part (iv) of the above proposition, together with [CT], Remark 3.2.2 (which carries over to the present setting *mutatis mutandis*), motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.7. Let G be a reductive group scheme over an admissible scheme S. Let $1\to F\to H\to G\to 1$ be a flasque resolution of G and set $R=H^{\text{tor}}$. Then the twisted-constant S-group scheme

$$\pi_1(G):=\operatorname{Coker}[F_*\to R_*]$$

is independent (up to isomorphism) of the chosen flasque resolution of G. It is called the *algebraic fundamental group* of G.

Remark 3.8. Since $\mu_*=0$, sequence (3.4) shows that $F_*\to R_*$ is injective. Thus, by the definition of $\pi_1(G)$, there exists an exact sequence of étale, finitely generated twisted-constant S-group schemes

$$1\to F_*\to R_*\to\pi_1(G)\to 1.$$

If μ is a finite S-group scheme of multiplicative type, set

$$\mu(-1):=\operatorname{Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}(\mu^*,(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_S).$$

Proposition 3.9. *Let S be an admissible scheme and let G be a reductive group scheme over S with fundamental group μ. Then there exists an exact sequence of étale, finitely generated twisted-constant S-group schemes*

$$1\to\mu(-1)\to\pi_1(G)\to(G^{\text{tor}})_*\to 1.$$

Proof. The proof of [CT], Proposition 6.4, carries over to the present setting *mutatis mutandis*, using (3.4) (but note that the first exact sequence in [loc. cit.] has been dualized incorrectly). □

Remark 3.10. If G is *semisimple*, then $G^{\rm tor}=0$ and the proposition shows that $\pi_1(G)=\mu(-1)$. Thus the algebraic fundamental group of G is the Pontryagin dual of the étale fundamental group of G. On the other hand, if G is a torus (so that $\mu=0$ and $G^{\rm tor}=G$), the proposition yields the equality $\pi_1(G)=G_*$.

Proposition 3.11. *Let S be an admissible scheme.*

(i) *Every homomorphism of reductive S-group schemes $\lambda\colon G_1\to G_2$ induces a homomorphism of algebraic fundamental S-group schemes $\lambda_*\colon \pi_1(G_1)\to\pi_1(G_2)$.*

(ii) *If $\kappa\colon G_1\to G_2$ and $\lambda\colon G_2\to G_3$ are homomorphisms of reductive S-group schemes, then $(\lambda\circ\kappa)_*=\lambda_*\circ\kappa_*$.*

Proof. The following proof of (i) is very similar to the proof of [CT], Proposition 6.6(i). For $i=1,2$, let $1\to F_i\to H_i\to G_i\to 1$ be a flasque resolution of G_i. Then, as seen in the proof of Proposition 3.6(i), there exists an isomorphism $H_1\times_{G_2}H_2\simeq H_1\times_S F_2$. Thus $\lambda\colon G_1\to G_2$ induces a homomorphism $H_1\to H_2$ which fits into an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & F_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\lambda"] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & F_2 \arrow[r] & H_2 \arrow[r] & G_2 \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Any two such liftings $H_1\to H_2$ of λ differ by an S-morphism $H_1\to F_2$ which is, in fact, an S-homomorphism by the argument in [Ray], proof of Corollary VII.1.2, p.103. The rest of the proof of (i) is as in [CT], proof of Proposition 6.6(i), using Proposition 3.6 above. The homomorphism $\lambda_*\colon\pi_1(G_1)\to\pi_1(G_2)$ thus defined is *independent of the choice of liftings.* To prove (ii), one chooses as lifting of $\lambda\circ\kappa\colon G_1\to G_3$ the composition of a lifting of λ and a lifting of κ, and uses the independence of choices just mentioned. □

It follows from part (ii) of the previous proposition that an exact sequence

$$1\longrightarrow G_1\xrightarrow{\kappa}G_2\xrightarrow{\lambda}G_3\longrightarrow 1$$

of reductive group schemes over an admissible scheme S induces a *complex* of algebraic fundamental S-group schemes

$$1\longrightarrow\pi_1(G_1)\xrightarrow{\kappa_*}\pi_1(G_2)\xrightarrow{\lambda_*}\pi_1(G_3)\longrightarrow 1.$$

We will show that the preceding complex is in fact *exact*, by proving first that it is exact when G_1 is either a semisimple S-group scheme or an S-torus, and then using these particular cases to obtain the general result (see Theorem 3.14 below).

Lemma 3.12. *Let S be an admissible scheme and let $1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \to G_3 \to 1$ be an exact sequence of reductive S-group schemes, where G_1 is* semisimple. *Then*

$$1 \to \pi_1(G_1) \to \pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3) \to 1$$

is exact.

Proof. Since $G_1 = G_1^{\rm der} \subset G_2^{\rm der}$, the given sequence induces an exact sequence of semisimple S-group schemes $1 \to G_1 \to G_2^{\rm der} \to G_3^{\rm der} \to 1$. Now, by Proposition 2.8, there exists an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{G}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{G}_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{G}_3 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & G_1 \arrow[r] & G_2^{\rm der} \arrow[r] & G_3^{\rm der} \arrow[r] & 1,
\end{tikzcd}$$

which yields an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_1(-1) \to \mu_2(-1) \to \mu_3(-1) \to 1.$$

On the other hand, there exists an exact sequence of twisted-constant S-group schemes $1 \to G_3^* \to G_2^* \to G_1^* = 1$, and it follows that the canonical map $(G_2^{\rm tor})_* \to (G_3^{\rm tor})_*$ is an isomorphism. Thus there exists an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mu_2(-1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1(G_2) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (G_2^{\rm tor})_* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mu_3(-1) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(G_3) \arrow[r] & (G_3^{\rm tor})_* \arrow[r] & 1,
\end{tikzcd}$$

which yields an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_1(-1) \to \pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3) \to 1.$$

Since $\mu_1(-1) = \pi_1(G_1)$ by Remark 3.10, the proof is complete. □

Lemma 3.13. *Let S be an admissible scheme and let $1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \to G_3 \to 1$ be an exact sequence of reductive group schemes over S, where G_1 is an S-*torus. *Then*

$$1 \to \pi_1(G_1) \to \pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3) \to 1$$

is exact.

Proof. By Proposition 2.9, the map $\widetilde{G}_2 \to \widetilde{G}_3$ induced by $G_2 \to G_3$ is an isomorphism. Now set $\mu' = G_1 \cap G_2^{\rm der} = {\rm Ker}\,[G_2^{\rm der} \to G_3^{\rm der}]$ and consider

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mu_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \widetilde{G}_2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & G_2^{\rm der} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \mu_3 \arrow[r] & \widetilde{G}_3 \arrow[r] & G_3^{\rm der} \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The above diagram yields an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_2(-1) \to \mu_3(-1) \to \mu'(-1) \to 1. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mu' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & G_2^{\mathrm{der}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & G_3^{\mathrm{der}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & G_1 \arrow[r] & G_2 \arrow[r] & G_3 \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

yields an exact sequence of S-group schemes of multiplicative type

$$1 \to \mu' \to G_1 \to G_2^{\mathrm{tor}} \to G_3^{\mathrm{tor}} \to 1.$$

The preceding sequence induces exact sequences

$$1 \to (G_1/\mu')_* \to (G_2^{\mathrm{tor}})_* \to (G_3^{\mathrm{tor}})_* \to 1 \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$1 \to (G_1)_* \to (G_1/\mu')_* \to \mu'(-1) \to 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Now the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mu_2(-1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1(G_2) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (G_2^{\mathrm{tor}})_* \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mu_3(-1) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(G_3) \arrow[r] & (G_3^{\mathrm{tor}})_* \arrow[r] & 1,
\end{tikzcd}$$

together with (3.8) and (3.9), yields an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ker}[\pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3)] \hookrightarrow (G_1/\mu')_* \to \mu'(-1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}[\pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3)].$$

It now follows from the above sequence and (3.10) that $\mathrm{Ker}[\pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3)] \simeq G_{1*} = \pi_1(G_1)$ and $\mathrm{Coker}[\pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3)] = 0$, as claimed. □

Theorem 3.14. *Let S be an admissible scheme and let $1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \to G_3 \to 1$ be an exact sequence of reductive group schemes over S. Then the induced sequence*

$$1 \to \pi_1(G_1) \to \pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3) \to 1$$

is exact.

Proof. Let $G_3' = G_2/G_1^{\mathrm{der}}$. Then there exist exact sequences

$$1 \to G_1^{\mathrm{der}} \to G_2 \to G_3' \to 1$$

and

$$1 \to G_1^{\mathrm{tor}} \to G_3' \to G_3 \to 1.$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 3.12 and 3.13, there exists an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 1 \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \pi_1(G_1^{\text{der}}) \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \pi_1(G_2) \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, dotted] & & \\
1 \arrow[r] & \pi_1(G_1^{\text{tor}}) \arrow[r] & \pi_1(G_3') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_1(G_3) \arrow[r] & 1 \\
 & & 1 & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

which shows that the dotted arrow is a surjection. Further, the above diagram yields the bottom row of the exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \mu_1(-1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \pi_1(G_1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (G_1^{\text{tor}})_* \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \pi_1(G_1^{\text{der}}) \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Ker}\left[\pi_1(G_2) \to \pi_1(G_3)\right] \arrow[r] & \pi_1(G_1^{\text{tor}}) \arrow[r] & 1.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The theorem is now clear. □

Remark 3.15. The above result extends [CT], Proposition 6.8, from the case of a base of the form $\operatorname{Spec} k$, where k is a field of characteristic zero, to an arbitrary admissible base scheme.

4. Abelian cohomology and flasque resolutions

In this Section we give some applications of flasque resolutions. See [GA2] for additional applications.

Let S be a non-empty scheme. We will write S_{fl} (respectively, $S_{\text{ét}}$) for the small fppf (respectively, étale) site over S. If F_1 and F_2 are abelian sheaves on S_{fl} (regarded as complexes concentrated in degree 0), $F_1 \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} F_2$ (respectively, $\operatorname{RHom}(F_1, F_2)$) will denote the total tensor product (respectively, right derived hom functor) of F_1 and F_2 in the derived category of the category of abelian sheaves on S_{fl}.

If $\tau = \text{fl}$ or ét, G is an S-group scheme and $i = 0$ or 1, $H^i(S_\tau, G)$ will denote the i-th cohomology set of the sheaf on S_τ represented by G. If G is commutative, these cohomology sets are in fact abelian groups and are defined for every $i \geq 0$. When G is smooth, the canonical map $H^i(S_{\text{ét}}, G) \to H^i(S_{\text{fl}}, G)$ is bijective [Mi1], Remark III.4.8(a), p.123. In this case, the preceding sets will be identified.

Let G be a reductive group scheme over S and recall from Section 2 the complex $(Z(\widetilde{G}\,)\xrightarrow{\partial_Z} Z(G))$ determined by G. For any integer $i\geq -1$, the i-th *abelian (flat) cohomology group of* G is by definition the hypercohomology group

$$H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl},G)=\mathbb{H}^i\big(S_{\rm fl},Z(\widetilde{G}\,)\xrightarrow{\partial_Z} Z(G)\big). \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, the i-th *dual abelian cohomology group of* G is the group

$$H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^*)=\mathbb{H}^i\big(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},Z(G)^*\xrightarrow{\partial_Z^*} Z(\widetilde{G}\,)^*\big). \tag{4.2}$$

These groups arise in the study of the cohomology sets of G over S and of various arithmetic objects associated to G. See [Bor, GA1, GA2] for more details. Note that, since the Cartier dual of an S-group scheme of multiplicative type is étale (in particular, smooth) over S, the groups (4.2) coincide with the flat hypercohomology groups $\mathbb{H}^i\big(S_{\rm fl},Z(G)^*\to Z(\widetilde{G}\,)^*\big)$. If $S=\operatorname{Spec}K$, where K is a field, $H^i_{\rm ab}(K,G^*)$ will denote $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^*)$. Clearly, if $\overline{K}$ is a fixed separable algebraic closure of K and $\varGamma=\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$,

$$H^i_{\rm ab}(K,G^*)=\mathbb{H}^i\big(\varGamma,Z(G)^*(\overline{K})\to Z(\widetilde{G}\,)^*(\overline{K})\big)$$

(Galois hypercohomology). Now, by (2.6), there exist exact sequences

$$\dots\to H^{i-1}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^{\rm tor})\to H^{i+1}(S_{\rm fl},\mu)\to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl},G)\to H^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^{\rm tor})\to\dots. \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\dots\to H^{i-1}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},\mu^*)\to H^{i+1}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^{\rm tor*})\to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^*)\to H^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},\mu^*)\to\dots. \tag{4.4}$$

Examples 4.1.

(a) If G is semisimple, i.e., $G^{\rm tor}=0$, then $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl},G)=H^{i+1}(S_{\rm fl},\mu)$ and $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^*)=H^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},\mu^*)$.

(b) If G has trivial fundamental group, i.e., $\mu=0$, then $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl},G)=H^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^{\rm tor})$ and $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^*)=H^{i+1}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^{\rm tor*})$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.4.

Proposition 4.2. *Let S be an admissible scheme, let G be a reductive group scheme over S and let $1\to F\to H\to G\to 1$ be a flasque resolution of G. Set $R=H^{\rm tor}$. Then the given resolution defines isomorphisms $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl},G)\simeq\mathbb{H}^i\big(S_{\rm fl},F\to R)$ and $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^*)\simeq\mathbb{H}^i\big(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},R^*\to F^*)$. Further, the given resolution defines exact sequences*

$$\dots\to H^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},F)\to H^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},R)\to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl},G)\to H^{i+1}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},F)\to\dots$$

and

$$\dots\to H^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},R^*)\to H^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},F^*)\to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},G^*)\to H^{i+1}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t},R^*)\to\dots. \quad\square$$

Corollary 4.3. *Let G be a reductive group scheme over an admissible scheme S. Then, for every integer $i \geq -1$, there exist isomorphisms*

$$H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl}, G) \simeq \mathbb{H}^i(S_{\rm fl}, \pi_1(G) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbb{G}_{m,S})$$

and

$$H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, G^*) \simeq \mathbb{H}^i(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, {\rm RHom}(\pi_1(G), \mathbb{Z}_S)).$$

Proof. Let $1 \to F \to H \to G \to 1$ be a flasque resolution of G and set $R = H^{\rm tor}$. By the proposition, the given resolution induces isomorphisms $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl}, G) \simeq \mathbb{H}^i\big(S_{\rm fl}, F \to R\big)$ and $H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, G^*) \simeq \mathbb{H}^i\big(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, R^* \to F^*\big)$. On the other hand, by (2.1), (2.2) and Remark 3.8, $(F \to R) = (F_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_S} \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \to R_* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_S} \mathbb{G}_{m,S})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\pi_1(G) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ and $(R^* \to F^*) = ({\rm Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}(R_*, \mathbb{Z}_S) \to {\rm Hom}_{S\text{-gr}}(F_*, \mathbb{Z}_S))$ is quasi-isomorphic to ${\rm RHom}(\pi_1(G), \mathbb{Z}_S)$. This yields the desired isomorphisms. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let S be an admissible scheme and let $1 \to G_1 \to G_2 \to G_3 \to 1$ be an exact sequence of reductive group schemes over S. Then there exist exact sequences of abelian groups*

$$\ldots \to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl}, G_1) \to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl}, G_2) \to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl}, G_3) \to H^{i+1}_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl}, G_1) \to \ldots$$

and

$$\ldots \to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, G_3^*) \to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, G_2^*) \to H^i_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, G_1^*) \to H^{i+1}_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, G_3^*) \to \ldots .$$

Proof. This follows from the above corollary and Theorem 3.14. □

Remark 4.5. In [Bor2], M. Borovoi has extended the constructions of Section 3 to an arbitrary non-empty base scheme and shown that the functor $\pi_1(G)$ is defined and exact in this generality. Further, it follows from [op.cit.] that $\pi_1(G) \otimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ and ${\rm RHom}(\pi_1(G), \mathbb{Z}_S)$ are quasi-isomorphic to $\big(Z\big(\widetilde{G}\,\big) \to Z(G)\big)$ and $\big(Z(G)^* \to Z\big(\widetilde{G}\,\big)^*\big)$, respectively. Consequently, both Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.4 are valid over any non-empty base scheme when $\pi_1(G)$ is defined as in [Bor2].

Proposition 4.6. *Let G be a reductive group scheme over an admissible scheme S, let $1 \to F \to H \to G \to 1$ be a flasque resolution of G and set $R = H^{\rm tor}$. Assume that R is split by a connected Galois cover $S' \to S$ such that every finite étale subcover $S'' \to S$ of $S' \to S$ satisfies* ${\rm Pic}\, S'' = 0$. *Then the given resolution defines an isomorphism*

$$H^1_{\rm ab}(S_{\rm fl}, G) \simeq {\rm Ker}\big[H^2(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, F) \to H^2(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, R)\big]\,.$$

Proof. Since R is quasi-trivial, the hypothesis implies that $H^1(S_{\rm \acute{e}t}, R) = 0$ (cf. [CTS2], proof of Theorem 4.1, p.167). The result now follows from Proposition 4.2. □

Remark 4.7. By [Bou], Proposition II.5.3.5, p.113 (see also [op.cit.], Remark on p.112), the above proposition applies to any reductive group scheme over the spectrum of an integral, noetherian and geometrically unibranched local ring (e.g., a field).

References

[Bor] Borovoi, M.: *Abelian Galois cohomology of reductive groups.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1998), no. 626.

[Bor2] Borovoi, M.: *The algebraic fundamental group of a reductive group scheme over an arbitrary base scheme.* Appendix B in arXiv:1112.6020v1 [math.NT].

[Bou] Bourbaki, N.: *Commutative Algebra.* Chapters 1-7 (softcover edition of the second printing 1989). Springer-Verlag 1989.

[Br] Breen, L. *On the classification of 2-gerbes and 2-stacks.* Asterisque no. **225** (1994).

[CTS1] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L. and Sansuc, J.-J.: *R-équivalence sur les tores.* Ann. sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^{e} série, vol. **10** (1977), 175-229.

[CTS2] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L. and Sansuc, J.-J.: *Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori: applications.* J. Algebra **106** (1987), 148-205.

[CT] Colliot-Thélène, J.-L.: *Résolutions flasques des groupes linéaires connexes.* J.reine angew. Math. **618** (2008), 77-133.

[Con] Conrad, B.: *Reductive group schemes (SGA3 Summer School, 2011),* Available at `http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/luminysga3.pdf`.

[SGA3] Demazure, M. and Grothendieck, A. (Eds.): *Schémas en groupes.* Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962-64 (SGA 3). Lecture Notes in Math. **151-153**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.

[SGA4] Artin, M., Grothendieck, A. and Verdier, J.-L. (Eds.): *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas.* Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1963-64 (SGA 4), Tome 3. Lecture Notes in Math. **305**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.

[GA1] González-Avilés, C.D.: *Quasi-abelian crossed modules and nonabelian cohomology.* Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.4542`.

[GA2] González-Avilés, C. *Abelian class groups of reductive group schemes.* Available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.3264`.

[EGA] Grothendieck, A. and Dieudonné, J.: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique.* IV.Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Première et Quatrième parties (EGA IV_1, IV_4). Publ. Math. de l'IHÉS **20** (1964), 5-259, **32** (1967), 5-361.

[SGA4] Grothendieck, A. and Verdier, J. (Eds.): *Théorie de Topos et Cohomologie Etale des Schémas.* Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1963-64 (SGA 4_{III}). Lecture Notes in Math. **305**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.

[Har] Harder, J.S.:*Halbeinfache Gruppenschemata über Dedekindringen.* Invent. Math. **4** (1967), 165-191.

[Mi1] Milne, J.S.: *Étale Cohomology.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1980.

[ADT] Milne, J.S.: *Arithmetic Duality Theorems.* Second Ed. (electronic version), 2006.

[Ray] Raynaud, M.: *Faisceaux amples sur les schémas en groupes et les espaces homogénes.* Lecture Notes in Math. **119**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

[San] Sansuc, J.-J.: *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres.* J. reine angew. Math. **327** (1981), 12-80.

[Wbl] Weibel, C. *An introduction to homological algebra.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1994.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de La Serena, Chile
E-mail address: `cgonzalez@userena.cl`